

Rigidity in the Invariant Theory of Compact Groups

Michael Larsen*

Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47405 USA

ABSTRACT

A compact Lie group G and a faithful complex representation V determine the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{G,V}$ on $\mathbb{C}$, defined as the direct image of Haar measure with respect to the character map $g \mapsto \text{tr}(g|V)$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Sato-Tate measure to be an isolated point in the set of all Sato-Tate measures, regarded as a subset of the space of distributions on $\mathbb{C}$. In particular we prove that if G is connected and semisimple and V is irreducible, then $\mu_{G,V}$ is an isolated point.

0. Introduction

Given a compact Lie group G and a finite dimensional, faithful, complex representation V of G , there is an associated **Sato-Tate measure** $\mu_{G,V}$ on the complex plane defined as the direct image of Haar measure with respect to the map $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the trace of V . This measure is compactly supported and is therefore uniquely determined by its moments

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu_{G,V} = \dim(V^{\otimes a} \otimes V^{*\otimes b})^G, \quad a, b \geq 0.$$

To what extent is the pair (G, V) determined by the measure $\mu_{G,V}$ or equivalently by the dimensions of spaces of G -invariants of $V^{\otimes a} \otimes V^{*\otimes b}$? Certainly (G, V) is not always determined up to isomorphism. For example, when G is a finite group and V is its regular representation, the only information encoded in $\mu_{G,V}$ is the order of G . In [4], we showed that (G, V) is not in general determined up to isomorphism by $\mu_{G,V}$ even when G is connected and semisimple. If, however, in addition V is irreducible, then the pair is uniquely determined by its measure.

This paper does not directly address the general problem of when $\mu_{G,V}$ determines (G, V) . It considers a related question: for what pairs (G, V) is $\mu_{G,V}$ isolated from all other Sato-Tate measures in the space of distributions on $\mathbb{C}$? Equivalently, when is the **Sato-Tate function**

* Partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-0100537

$$F_{G,V}: (a, b) \mapsto \dim(V^{\otimes a} \otimes V^{*\otimes b})^G$$

isolated in the space of all Sato-Tate functions in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ (endowed with the Tychonoff topology)? It may be worth pointing out that not every limit of a convergent sequence of Sato-Tate measures is again a Sato-Tate measure. For example, if (G_i, V_i) is a sequence of classical groups in the same series and V_i is the natural representation of G_i , then μ_{G_i, V_i} converges to a complex (resp. real) Gaussian distribution if the G_i are unitary (resp. orthogonal or symplectic) [2].

The original motivation for this problem comes from the cohomological theory of exponential sums (see, e.g., [3] Chap. 3). Suppose that U is a geometrically connected curve over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$, $\bar{U}$ the curve obtained from U by extension of scalars to $\bar{\mathbb{F}}$, and π_1^a and π_1^g are the fundamental groups of U and $\bar{U}$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a lisse ℓ -adic sheaf on U , i.e., a continuous representation $\pi_1^a \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. For simplicity, assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is pure of weight zero, and that $\mathbb{F}$ is large enough that the Zariski closures G^a and G^g of π_1^a and π_1^g respectively coincide. Then, by [1], $G^a = G^g$ has semisimple identity component. Let G denote a compact real form of the complexification of G^a (with respect to a fixed embedding $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$), so $\mathcal{F}$ determines a complex faithful n -dimensional representation V of G . Every closed point u in U determines a conjugacy class in G whose trace in V coincides with the trace of the Frobenius in $\mathcal{F}$. Deligne's geometric analogue of the Sato-Tate conjecture [1] asserts that the distribution of these conjugacy classes is uniform with respect to Haar measure on G . At the trace level, this means that the distribution of traces of Frobenius with respect to $\mathcal{F}$ is given by the Sato-Tate measure $\mu_{G,V}$. In fact, Deligne proves a strong version of this estimate, which allows one to compute any given moment $F_{G,V}(a, b)$ effectively. Ideally, we would like to determine (G, V) , but failing that, we would like to know that a finite amount of computation is enough to determine $\mu_{G,V}$. The main theorem of the paper is insufficient for this more limited goal in general, but it turns out to be enough when G is connected and V is irreducible.

The situation for monodromy of ℓ -adic sheaves over function fields is special because the Riemann hypothesis is a theorem, and therefore we can achieve certainty concerning individual moments of $\mu_{G,V}$. Suppose we have an unknown compact group G , arising in nature as a subgroup of GL_n (where n may be unknown) for which we can sample elements of G uniformly distributed with respect to Haar measure and observe the trace. Repeated experiments will lead to greater and greater certainty concerning any given moment of $\mu_{G,V}$. We would like to know when they will lead to greater and greater certainty concerning the actual distribution $\mu_{G,V}$.

To understand how a Sato-Tate measure could fail to be isolated, we note that from the standpoint of invariant theory, it is hard to distinguish $U(1)$ from $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ when n is large. On the other hand, Jordan's structure theorem for finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ asserts, in effect, that a compact Lie group can be well approximated by finite subgroups *only* if its identity component is a torus. More generally we expect that a compact Lie group will be well approximated by proper closed subgroups exactly if its identity component fails to be semisimple. This phenomenon turns out to explain all cases in which a measure $\mu_{G,V}$ is a limit of other Sato-Tate measures.

The paper is organized as follows. The first section gives two basic finiteness results for conjugacy classes of closed subgroups of compact Lie groups. If not known, they are at least variants of well-known statements. The second section proves that $\mu_{G,V}$ cannot be isolated if the center of G° has positive dimension. The proof of the main theorem is in the third section. The fourth section examines the question of when $\mu_{G,V}$ is a limit of distributions associated to representations of finite groups.

I would like to thank N. Katz for introducing me to this circle of ideas in his 1985–86 course at Princeton. This paper is motivated by some more recent questions of his.

1. Some finiteness theorems

Throughout this paper, G will denote a compact Lie group, G° its identity component, D the derived group of G° , Z the center of G° , and Z° its identity component. Thus, D is semisimple, Z° is a torus, and $G^\circ = DZ^\circ$.

We begin with a version of Richardson's rigidity theorem [6].

LEMMA 1.1: *Let X be a variety over $\mathbb{R}$, G a linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{R}$, and H an $\mathbb{R}$ -subgroup of G . Let $J \subset G \times X$ denote a closed $\mathbb{R}$ -subvariety which is an X -subgroup scheme (of the constant group scheme $G \times X/X$). Suppose that $H(\mathbb{R})$ is compact. Then there exists a finite collection S of closed subgroups of $H(\mathbb{R})$ such that for every $x \in X(\mathbb{R})$, the intersection of $H(\mathbb{R})$ with $J_x(\mathbb{R})$ is conjugate in $H(\mathbb{R})$ to a group in S .*

Proof: Let $K = J \cap H \times X$. By a standard Noetherian induction argument, there is a partition of X into finitely many locally closed strata over each of which K is a smooth group scheme. Without loss of generality, therefore, we may assume K is smooth over X . Therefore, $K_x(\mathbb{R})$ is a real analytic family of subgroups of $H(\mathbb{R})$ in the sense of [6]. Now, $K_x(\mathbb{R})$ is compact for all x , so every representation of $K_x(\mathbb{R})$ is completely reducible, and this means $H^1(K_x(\mathbb{R}), V) = 0$ for any representation V . By [6] Theorem 3.1, for every $x_0 \in X(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a neighborhood U such that $K_x(\mathbb{R})$ is conjugate to $K_{x_0}(\mathbb{R})$ in $H(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x \in U$. As the real locus $X(\mathbb{R})$ has finitely many components in the strong

topology, there exists a finite set of compact subgroups of $H(\mathbb{R})$ representing all $K_x(\mathbb{R})$ up to conjugation.

□

Now consider a compact Lie group G , a faithful complex representation V of G , and a finite collection Σ of pairs $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. If $H \subset G$ is a closed subgroup, V can also be regarded as a representation of H , and

$$F_{G,V}(m, n) \leq F_{H,V}(m, n) \quad (*)$$

for all (m, n) , in particular those belonging to Σ .

PROPOSITION 1.2: *Given (G, V) and Σ as above, there exists a set S of subgroups $K \subset G$ consisting of finitely many G -conjugacy classes and such that for any subgroup $H \subset G$, either $F_{G,V}$ coincides with $F_{H,V}$ for all $(m, n) \in \Sigma$ or H is contained in some $K \in S$.*

Proof: For each $(m, n) \in \Sigma$, we consider the Grassmannian $X_{m,n}$ of $F_{G,V}(m, n) + 1$ -planes in $V_{m,n} := V^{\otimes m} \otimes V^{*\otimes n}$. If, indeed, the inequality $(*)$ is strict, then the space of H -invariants includes some plane indexed by $X_{m,n}$. Let X denote the disjoint union over Σ of $X_{m,n}$, and for $x \in X_{m,n}$, let K_x denote the intersection in $\text{GL}(V_{m,n})$ of G and $\text{Stab}(x)$. By Lemma 1.1, the resulting possibilities for K_x are finite, up to conjugation in G . □

The last result in this section is a generalization to compact Lie groups of Jordan's theorem on finite subgroups of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

THEOREM 1.3: *If G is a compact Lie group for which G° is semisimple, then there exists a finite set S of proper compact subgroups of G such that every proper compact subgroup can be conjugated into a subgroup of an element of S .*

Proof: Any subgroup which does not meet every component of G is contained in a proper subgroup of G which is a union of components of G . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may consider only subgroups H of G which meet every component of G . As G° is semisimple, it has finitely many normal subgroups, and if $H \cap G^\circ$ contains a positive-dimensional normal subgroup of G° , it contains a positive-dimensional normal subgroup of G . If a maximal proper subgroup H of G contains a normal subgroup K of G , then H/K is a maximal proper subgroup of G/K . By induction on dimension, we may consider only subgroups H of G which do not contain a positive dimensional normal subgroup of G .

If K is any positive dimensional characteristic subgroup of H ,

$$H \subset \text{Norm}_G K \subsetneq G,$$

so without loss of generality, we may assume $H = \text{Norm}_G K$. If H° is noncommutative, we take K to be the derived group of H° ; if H° is a non-trivial torus, we set $K = H^\circ$.

In the first case H is the normalizer of a semisimple subgroup of G° , so it suffices to prove there are only finitely many such subgroups up to conjugacy. There are finitely many possible isomorphism classes for K . Given a fixed embedding $G \hookrightarrow U(n)$, each inclusion $K \hookrightarrow G$ determines an n -dimensional representation of K . There are finitely many isomorphism classes of n -dimensional representations of a fixed semisimple group; each one determines a family of subgroups $K_x \subset U(n)$ indexed by $U(n)$ itself. By Lemma 1.1, there are only finitely many possibilities for $K_x \cap G \subset G$ and therefore finitely many possibilities for $K = K_x$ and for H , up to G -conjugacy.

If K is a torus, H contains the centralizer of the torus and therefore some maximal torus T of G° . Thus K is a maximal torus of G° , which means this case contributes only one conjugacy class of maximal subgroups.

All that remains is the case that H is finite. Up to conjugation, there are finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of G of any given order: there are finitely many isomorphism classes of finite groups of a given order, and for each fixed isomorphism class, by Lemma 1.1, there are finitely many conjugacy classes of homomorphisms to G . By Jordan's theorem, there exists a constant J depending only on G such that H contains a normal abelian subgroup A of index $\leq J$. If $|H| > J|Z|[G : G^\circ]$, then A must contain a non-central element $a \in G^\circ$. The centralizer of a in G is a proper closed subgroup of positive dimension, and it contains H , which is absurd. Thus, $|H|$ is bounded above, and the theorem follows. $\square$

2. Approximating tori by torsion subgroups

Let $Z[n]$ denote the kernel of multiplication by n in Z . This is a characteristic subgroup of G . We regard the $Z[n]$ as finite subgroups approximating Z . We would like to show that whenever Z is infinite, G itself can be well approximated by proper closed subgroups.

LEMMA 2.1: *For every positive integer n there exists a compact subgroup G_n of G such that $G_n Z = G$, and $G_n \cap Z$ contains $Z[n]$ as a subgroup of finite index. In particular, if Z is infinite, G_n is a proper subgroup of G .*

Proof: Suppose that $H^2(G/Z^\circ, Z^\circ)$ is finite. Let m annihilate this group. Then the cohomology sequence associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Z^\circ[m] \rightarrow Z^\circ \rightarrow Z^\circ \rightarrow 0$$

implies every class of $H^2(G/Z^\circ, Z^\circ)$, in particular the one given by the extension $0 \rightarrow Z^\circ \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/Z^\circ \rightarrow 0$, lies in the image of $H^2(G/Z^\circ, Z^\circ[m])$. It can therefore be trivialized by

pulling back to a finite central extension F of G/Z° . This means that the pull-back of the homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/Z^\circ$ to F splits, and the splitting gives a homomorphism $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ such that $\phi(F)Z^\circ = G$. We can then take $G_n = \phi(F)Z[n]$.

To prove the desired finiteness, first consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_*(Z^\circ) \rightarrow X_*(Z^\circ) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z^\circ \rightarrow 0,$$

where X_* denotes the group of cocharacters. By the long exact cohomology sequence, it suffices to prove that $H^q(G/Z^\circ, X_*(Z^\circ))$ is finite for $q = 2, 3$ or equivalently, that $H^q(G/Z^\circ, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite for the same values of q . The first is obvious. The second is a consequence of Whitehead's lemma. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.2: *If Z is infinite, then $\mu_{G,V}$ is a limit point of the sequence*

$$\{\mu_{G_n,V}\}_{n=1,2,3,\dots}$$

Proof: Clearly

$$F_{G,V}(a,b) \leq F_{G_n,V}(a,b)$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to show that for any fixed pair (a, b) , equality holds for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $Z^\circ = Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_k$, where each Z_i is isomorphic to $U(1)$. Let $X_{a,b}$ denote the Grassmannian of $F_{G,V}(a,b) + 1$ -planes in $V_{a,b} := V^{\otimes a} \otimes V^{*\otimes b}$. For $x \in X_{a,b}$, we let $Z_{i,x}$ denote the intersection in $\mathrm{GL}(V_{a,b})$ of Z_i and $\mathrm{Stab}(x)$. As these intersections are the fibers of a morphism of finite type, there is a uniform upper bound N such that if $Z_{i,x}$ has more than N points, it must be all of Z_i . For $n > N$, $G_n \subset \mathrm{Stab}(x)$ implies $Z_i[n] \subset \mathrm{Stab}(x)$ and therefore $Z_i \subset \mathrm{Stab}(x)$ for all i . As $G = G_n Z^\circ$, this implies $G \subset \mathrm{Stab}(x)$ contrary to hypothesis. Thus, $F_{G,V}(a,b) = F_{G_n,V}(a,b)$. $\square$

3. Isolated measures

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper, namely the isolation of any $F_{G,V}$ which is not a limit point by virtue of Proposition 2.2.

LEMMA 3.1: *For any finite-dimensional Hermitian vector space V , there exists N such that for $a > N$,*

$$F_{U(V),V}(a,a) > (\dim V - 1)^{2a}.$$

Proof: Let du denote Haar measure on $U(V)$ and

$$K = \left\{ u \in U(V) \mid |\operatorname{tr}(u)| > \dim V - \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

By the continuity of the trace function, K has positive measure with respect to du , so if $a \gg 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{U(V),V}(a, a) &= \int_{U(V)} |\operatorname{tr}(u)|^{2a} du \geq \int_K |\operatorname{tr}(u)|^{2a} du \\ &\geq \left(\int_K du \right) \left(\dim V - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2a} > (\dim V - 1)^{2a}. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 3.2: *For all pairs (G, V) there exists a neighborhood U of $F_{G,V}$ in the Tychonoff topology such that for all (G', V') with $F_{G',V'} \in U$, $\dim V = \dim V'$.*

Proof: We have for all a the inequalities

$$F_{U(V),V}(a, a) \leq F_{G,V}(a, a) \leq (\dim V)^{2a}.$$

By the second main theorem of invariant theory [7] 2.14.A, if $a \leq \dim V$,

$$F_{U(V),V}(a, a) = a! > (a/e)^a.$$

Therefore, if $\dim V' > e(\dim V)^2$, setting $a = \lceil e(\dim V)^2 \rceil$,

$$F_{G,V}(a, a) \leq (\dim V)^{2a} \leq (a/e)^a < F_{U(V'),V'}(a, a) \leq F_{G',V'}(a, a).$$

This gives a neighborhood of $F_{G,V}$ which contains only $F_{G',V'}$ with $\dim V'$ bounded above. For any fixed value $\dim V' \neq \dim V$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a such that

$$F_{G,V}(a, a) \leq (\dim V)^{2a} < F_{U(V'),V'}(a, a) \leq F_{G',V'}(a, a).$$

or

$$F_{G',V'}(a, a) \leq (\dim V')^{2a} < F_{U(V),V}(a, a) \leq F_{G,V}(a, a)$$

Thus, in some neighborhood U of $F_{G,V}$, all Sato-Tate sequences arise from representations of degree $\dim V$. □

We can now prove the main theorem of this paper.

THEOREM 3.3: Let μ denote any Sato-Tate measure for which $\mu = \mu_{G,V}$ implies that G° is semisimple. Then μ is an isolated point in the space of Sato-Tate measures. Equivalently, there exists N such that if

$$F_{G,V}(a, b) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu$$

whenever $a, b \leq N$, this equation holds for all a and b .

Proof: Let $\mu = \mu_{G_0, V_0}$ and $n = \dim V_0$. By the preceding proposition, all $\mu_{G,V}$ sufficiently close to μ satisfy $\dim V = n$. Consider the set of all isomorphism classes of pairs (G, V) with $\dim V = n$. We construct a directed graph on this set as follows: for each conjugacy class of inclusion maps $G_1 \hookrightarrow G_2$ such that the restriction of the representation V_2 to G_1 is isomorphic to V_1 , there is an arrow from (G_1, V_1) to (G_2, V_2) . If G_2° is semisimple and G_1 is a maximal proper closed subgroup, we color the arrow red. If G_2° is not semisimple, by hypothesis, there exists a minimal non-negative integer N such that

$$F_{G_2, V_2}(a, b) \neq \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu$$

for some $a + b = N$. Let $\Sigma = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid a + b \leq N\}$. Consider the set S of subgroups of G_2 given by Proposition 1.2. If (G_1, V_1) is isomorphic to (K, V_2) for some $K \in S$, then we color the arrow blue. All other arrows remain uncolored.

We consider the maximal connected subgraph Γ containing the pair $(U(V), V)$ for an n -dimensional vector space and consisting only of red and blue arrows. The vertices of this graph are those in the original graph which can be obtained from $(U(V), V)$ by following a sequence of colored arrows. We claim this graph is finite. It suffices to show that there are no infinite sequences of arrows arranged head to tail and that each pair is the head of finitely many arrows. The first point is clear since any decreasing chain of nested compact groups stabilizes. The second point follows from Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. Note that Γ contains no directed cycle since every injective endomorphism of a finite-dimensional Lie group is an isomorphism.

Assume μ is a limit point of Sato-Tate measures. It is then a limit point of Sato-Tate measures associated to n -dimensional representations. For any n -dimensional pair (G, V) in Γ it makes sense to ask whether μ is a limit point of Sato-Tate measures **subordinate to** (G, V) , that is, of the form $\mu_{G', V}$, where G' ranges over subgroups of G . For the pair $(U(V), V)$, the answer is affirmative. Let (G, V) denote a minimal pair in Γ for which the answer remains affirmative.

If G° is semisimple, then any infinite sequence of Sato-Tate measures subordinate to (G, V) contains an infinite subsequence subordinate to (G_1, V) for some maximal subgroup

G_1 of G . This contradicts the minimality of (G, V) . Thus, G° is not semisimple. Choose N so that for some $a, b \leq N$,

$$F_{G,V}(a, b) \neq \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu.$$

If $F_{G,V}(a, b)$ exceeds $\int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu$, the same will be true for any restriction of V to a subgroup of G , contrary to the assumption that μ is a limit of Sato-Tate measures subordinate to (G, V) . Thus,

$$F_{G,V}(a, b) < \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu,$$

and every sequence of Sato-Tate measures subordinate to (G, V) and converging to μ contains a subsequence μ_{G_1, V_1} , $V_1 = V|_{G_1}$, such that $F_{G_1, V_1}(a, b) > F_{G, V}(a, b)$. Every term in the subsequence is subordinate to a pair which is the target of a blue arrow from (G, V) ; by the minimality of (G, V) , this gives a contradiction. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.4: *If G is a semisimple group and V is an irreducible representation, then $\mu_{G, V}$ is isolated.*

Proof: It suffices to prove that if $\mu_{G', V'} = \mu_{G, V}$, then G' has a semisimple identity component.

As

$$\dim \text{End}_{G'}(V') = \dim(V' \otimes V'^*)^{G'} = F_{G', V'}(1, 1) = F_{G, V}(1, 1) = \dim \text{End}_G(V) = 1,$$

the representation V' is irreducible. Consider the restriction of V' to the identity component of G' . This decomposes as a direct sum of isotypic factors V'_i , and the component group G'/G'° acts transitively on the set of factors. It is a theorem of Jordan that for every non-trivial transitive group action, there is a group element without fixed points. This element corresponds to a connected component of G' on which the trace of V' is zero. Thus, the measure $\mu_{G', V'}$ has an atom at 0. This is impossible, since the pre-image of 0 under the character of V is a proper subvariety of the connected real-algebraic variety G , and therefore has measure zero [5] I 2.5.3 (i). We conclude that the restriction of V' is isotypic of type W' . As V' is a faithful representation of G' , the center of G'° lies in the center of G' . If it has positive dimension, then $F_{G', V'}(a, b)$ is zero, except when $a = b$. This is impossible, since $F_{G, V}(a, b)$ is positive whenever a and b are sufficiently large and $a - b$ annihilates the center of G . The corollary follows. $\square$

4. Limits of finite groups

LEMMA 4.1: *If G is a compact Lie group such that D is non-trivial, there exists a finite set S' of proper closed subgroups such that every finite subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of an element of S' .*

Proof: Let S be the finite set of subgroups of G/Z° obtained by applying Theorem 1.3 to that group. Let S' denote the set of preimages with respect to $G \rightarrow G/Z^\circ$ of elements of S . The image of any finite subgroup is a proper closed subgroup of G/Z° and therefore a subgroup of some conjugate of an element of S ; it follows that any finite subgroup of G can be conjugated into a subgroup of an element of S' . $\square$

THEOREM 4.2: *If μ is a Sato-Tate measure which is the limit of a sequence of Sato-Tate measures of representations of finite groups, then $\mu = \mu_{G,V}$ for some group G such that G° is a torus.*

Proof: We follow the proof of Theorem 3.3, using Lemma 4.1 instead of Theorem 1.3. That is, for every (G, V) with D non-trivial, we draw a red arrow to each (G', V) , $G' \in S'$. For every (G, V) with G° a torus, we find $a + b = N$ such that $F_{G,V}(a, b) \neq \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^a \bar{z}^b \mu$ and draw a blue arrow to each (G', V) obtained by applying Proposition 1.2 to $\Sigma = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid a + b \leq N\}$. We choose a minimal (G, V) on the resulting finite graph for which there exists an infinite sequence of pairs (G_i, V_i) with G_i finite, subordinate to (G, V) and with Sato-Tate measures converging to μ . The contradiction results as before. $\square$

We remark that, although it seems likely that when $\mu_{G,V} = \mu_{G',V'}$ either both or neither of G° and G'° are tori, this has not been proven, so in principle for some non-toric (G, V) we could still have $\mu_{G,V}$ the limit of Sato-Tate measures of finite groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Deligne: La Conjecture de Weil, II, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **52** (1980), 138–252.
- [2] P. Diaconis, M. Shahshahani: On the eigenvalues of random matrices. Studies in applied probability. *J. Appl. Probab.* **31A** (1994), 49–62.
- [3] N. Katz: *Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 116. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- [4] M. Larsen, R. Pink: Determining representations from invariant dimensions, *Invent. Math.* **102** (1990) 377–398.
- [5] G. A. Margulis: Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* (3), 17. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [6] Richardson, R. W., Jr.: Deformations of Lie subgroups and the variation of isotropy subgroups. *Acta. Math.* **129** (1972), 35–73.

- [7] H. Weyl: *The Classical Groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1939.